Assessing Mental Health Issues on College Campuses: Preliminary Findings from a Pilot Study

Vincent Wen-Sheng Tseng

Information Science Cornell University wt262@cornell.edu

Saeed Abdullah Information Science

Cornell University sma249@cornell.edu

Michael Merrill

Information Science Cornell University mam546@cornell.edu Min Hane Aung Information Science Cornell University msa242@cornell.edu

Franziska Wittleder

Information Science Cornell University fsw29@cornell.edu Tanzeem Choudhury Information Science Cornell University tanzeem.choudhury@cornell.edu

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author.

Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).

Ubicomp/ISWC'16 Adjunct, September 12–16, 2016, Heidelberg, Germany ACM 978-1-4503-4462-3/16/09.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2968219.2968308

Abstract

A significant fraction of college students suffer from serious mental health issues including depression, anxiety, self-harm and suicidal thought. The prevalence and severity of these issues among college students also appear to increase over time. However, most of these issues often remain undiagnosed, and as a result, untreated. One of the main reasons of this gap between illness and treatment results from the lack of reliable data over time. While health care services in college campuses have been focusing on detection of illness onset and appropriate interventions, their tools are mostly manual surveys which often fail to capture the granular details of contexts and behaviors which might provide important cues about illness onset. To overcome the limitations of these manual tools, we deployed a smartphone based tool or unobtrusive and continuous data collection from 22 students during an academic semester. In this paper, we present the preliminary findings from our study about assessing mental health on college campuses using passively sensed smartphone data.

Author Keywords

Mental Health; Mobile Sensing; Predictive Modeling; mHealth; Behavioral Intervention

ACM Classification Keywords

J.3 [Life and Medical Sciences]: Health

Introduction

In recent years, there has been a growing concern about the mental health issues on college campuses. The 2015 National College Health Assessment (ACHA-NCHA II) [3], based on 19, 861 students over 40 schools, reported that 35.3% students "felt so depressed that it was difficult to function" and 9.6% students have seriously considered suicide at least once in last 12 months. Using data from 26 college campuses, Eisenberg et al. [14] reported that around 32% of students suffer from mental health issues including depression, anxiety, suicidal thought and self-injury.

Moreover, a number of studies have reported that the prevalence and severity of mental health issues among college students are on the rise. From the ACHA-NCHA national survey, the rate of students diagnosed with depression in last 12 months has increased by almost 40% from 2000 [2] to 2015 [3]. Treatment providers on college campuses have also reported an increasing trend towards higher number of students suffering from mental health issues over the years [16].

The prevalent and extent of these issues on college campuses has far-reaching consequences for overall health care policy and practice. More than 20 million students are enrolled in US colleges [15]. Moreover, for 75% of individuals with mental health problems, the age of onset is prior to 24 years [20]. Given that early intervention can significantly improve long-term prognosis for a wide range of mental health disorders [4], college campus based mental health care can provide a unique opportunity to prevent and treat mental health disorders.

However, most of these issues remain undiagnosed, and hence, untreated. Blanco et al. [5] reported that less than 20% of college students with mental health issues received any treatment in past 12 months. Health care service on

college campuses often seriously lack in resources required to address these mental health issues. Hunt et al. [17] reported that the overall ratio of students to psychological counselors to be 1900 : 1 indicating an overburdened and understaffed system.

Moreover, the tools for assessing students' mental health are mainly survey based, which have several disadvantages: i) they rely on students periodically filling out surveys, which can be burdensome, and hence students tend to stop doing self-report after a while, ii) since there might be a lag between the illness onset and survey completion. the self-reported data sometimes can be inaccurate, not exactly reflecting the change of their mental health status, iii) due to the difficulty of manual recording, the survey tools often fail to provide longitudinal data with high granularity. As a result, it is difficult for healthcare providers to pick up subtle behavioral changes associated with students' mental health status and provide early intervention using existing tools. In addition, the early signs of mental health illness vary from person to person, and many people who suffer from mental health issues are unaware of these early signs and do not actively reach out for help until the symptoms get severe.

As such, there is a need for new tools that can better monitor students' daily activity and assess their mental health status continuously and unobtrusively. Recently, there has been a lot of work focusing on using smartphones as a tool to keep track of mental health issues [1, 8]. Smartphones are equipped with various types of sensors that enable us to acquire information on users' behaviors in different contexts. Moreover, the college students tend to be habituated and heavy smartphone users. Indeed, around 86% of US college students regularly use a smartphone [12]. As a result, the information collected from smartphone's multimodal sensors could potentially help us gain more insights on both students' behavioral and mental health changes.

In this work, we deployed a smartphone based tool over 22 college students over the duration of an academic semester (4 months). Our app can unobtrusively and continuously track behavioral and contextual cues. We also collected self-assessed survey data related to mental health status throughout the duration of the study. In this paper, we described the preliminary findings from the collected data discussing the effectiveness of using mobile sensing in this context.

Methods

Enrollment Process

We announced the study at the beginning of the Spring term to the students of a class taught by one of the coauthors. Students were free to not participate in this study. Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Cornell University approved the study protocol. During the enrollment process, we described the overall research goal of this project and how it relates to the student wellness and productivity. The university health care service was also involved during the announcement of the study. We allowed students to use their data for the final class project. To further encourage participation, we handed out rewards to the students throughout the study (one iPad mini and 2 Android phones). Rewards were given based on adherence to the data collection protocol. After initial announcements we held a series of three orientation and enrollment sessions in the following week.

In total, 24 students initially enrolled in our study. But, 2 participants did not comply with the protocol. So, we used the data from the rest of the 22 participants in our analysis (12 Female and 10 male). All of the students were within

20-25 years age range.

Data Collection



Figure 1: Compliance rate across the study duration.

Throughout the study, we collected both self-assessment survey and passive sensing data. To better understand the trajectory of mental health status and overall well-being, we conducted surveys at the beginning of the term, after midterm and at the end of the term as shown in Table 2. We recorded academic performance (weekly assignment grade and overall GPA at the end of the term).

Participants also installed our app in their phones. It passively and unobtrusively collected a wide range of behavioral and contextual data as shown in Table 1. Due to architectural disparity, the data collected from iOS and Android devices can be slightly different. For example, Android allows collecting information about running applications while it is not permitted under iOS architecture.

Using the app, the participants also completed a number of daily surveys. The app notified the participants to complete the sleep journal and PAM survey [24] at 10:30AM. It also prompted them to complete the stress and PAM survey at

4:30pm. The stress survey was in 0-4 Likert scale (0=Feeling Great, 4=Stressed Out).

Sensor Data Type	Description
Location	Latitude and longitude data
Activity	Current motion activity (e.g., walking,
	biking, driving)
Step Count [†]	Pedestrian data including step counts
	and distance traveled
Audio	Audio information and features collected
	from microphone (i.e., if someone
	having a conversation)
Accelerometer ⁺	Motion features from smartphone
	accelerometer
Device Usage	Duration and frequency of smartphone
	usage
Charging Event	The timing, frequency and duration of
	charging
Battery	Status of phone battery
Light*	Illuminance of nearby environment
SMS*	Log of SMS sent and received
Call*	Log of incoming and outgoing call
Currently running	List of currently running apps and
apps*	corresponding processes and services

Table 1: Sensor data collected from Smartphone. \dagger : iOS only, \star :Android devices only.

Data Analysis

Compliance rate

Based on daily survey responses, the compliance rate varies across different participants as shown in Figure 1. There are 5 participants with compliance rate less than 20%. The compliance rate also changed across the duration of the study as shown in Figure 2. The initial participation rate was quite high, however, it dropped significantly at



Figure 2: Compliance rate across the study duration.

the end of the study.

Findings

In this paper, we focused on identifying changes in survey and passive sensor data during the academic term. In particular, we looked into the trajectory of changes between periods with different academic pressure (i.e., break and exam periods). During the term, there were 2 official breaks — February break (Feb 13 – Feb 16) and Spring break (March 26 – April 3). After the last day of the class (May 11), it was study and exam period which ended on May 24th.

We were particularly interested to see how sleep duration changed across this period given the importance of sleep in the context of mental health. Figure 3 shows the overall sleep distribution with standard error of mean (SEM). As expected, the sleep duration during the weekends is significantly higher. Compared to the rest of the weekdays, the participants sleep more on Wednesdays.

Survey Data	Description
Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) [7]	PSQI is used for assessing overall sleep quality
Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) [19]	Assessing general level of daytime sleepiness
Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ) [25]	Survey about chronotype and social jet lag
Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS-10) [9]	Assessment of well-being and ability to function
Rehavioral Health Measure (RHM-20) [6]	Assessment of psychological symptoms, life
	functioning, well-being and positive psychology
Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-10) [11]	Assessing resilience
Flourishing Scale [13]	Assessment of social-psychological prosperity
Perceived Stress Scale [10]	Assessment of perceived stress of a given participant
Big Five Inventory (BFI) [18]	Survey about different personality facets
Personal Health Questionnaire Depression Scale (PHQ-8) [21]	Diagnostic and severity measure for depression
LICLA Landinger Scala [26]	Measurement of subject feeling of loneliness
UCLA LUITEITTESS SUATE [20]	and social isolation

 Table 2: Surveys conducted at the beginning of the term, mid-term and at the end of the term.



Figure 3: Sleep duration with standard error of mean (SEM) over weekdays and weekends.

We also compared the sleep duration during academic breaks and exam preparation period as shown in Figure 4. The sleep duration increased during the academic breaks. But, there was a significant drop during the study and exam



Figure 4: Comparison of sleep duration with standard error of mean during academic breaks and after the last day of the class (study and exam period).

period. In other words, the participants slept considerably less after the last day of the class compared to the rest of

the academic term.



Figure 5: Average stress reported during the academic breaks, the immediate next week after the breaks and the exam period. The error bar indicates standard error of mean.

We further looked into the daily stress reported by the participants during these periods as shown in Figure 5. In general, the exam period resulted in students being more stressed. The participants reported being less stressed during the breaks as expected. However, interestingly, there were significant spikes right after the breaks, in which the participants reported to be quite stressed. We speculate that this is due to the structure of the academic term. Having no class during the break allowed students to have a flexible sleep schedule and being less stressed. However, since prelims are scheduled within a few weeks after the breaks, the students might feel pressured to catch up right after coming back from the breaks resulting in increased stress. This is an interesting finding which needs further investigations.

Sensor data analysis

We were also interested in looking at whether students' behavioral change can be captured through sensor data, in particular their daily routine. Usually, during instruction periods, students have relatively regular routines. However, during holidays, or as exams approach, their routines might become less regular. The regularity of daily routine is correlated with mental health [23]. Therefore, if we are able to detect anomaly in their daily routine, it could be used as an early warning sign indicative of mental health status of a participant.

In order to find out an individual's routine, we applied Robust PCA (RPCA) [22]. First, we aggregated the sensor data of each modality by hour and arranged the data across days as a matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$ with columns representing different days and rows representing the hour during the day, where h is 24, the number of hours per day, and d is the the number of days. This data matrix can be decomposed into lower ranks if an individual has regular routines. We used RPCA to decompose D into two separate matrices, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$, a low-rank matrix comprised of the underlying pattern of individual's routine, and $E \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$, a sparse matrix matrix comprised of variations of routine.

By visualizing the the low-rank matrices of the sensor streams, we can see how an individual's daily routine changes throughout the academic semester. Some of the examples are shown below:

Weekdays versus Weekends

As shown in Figure 6, user 15's activity data indicates that the student had less time being active during 9:30 AM - 11:30 AM and 3:30 PM - 4:30 PM throughout the term. This suggests that the student might have classes during those two periods. There is also a weekly pattern in data showing that there is a drop in activity level reflecting the weekends.

Sensor data during academic breaks



Figure 6: Daily routine and weekly routine. The active data from the low-rank matrix A after decomposition shows that the student was less active during 9:30 AM - 11:30 AM and 3:30 PM - 4:30 PM throughout the term indicating the class schedule during the weekdays. The activity level also drops during the weekends.

User 29's activity, step count, and phone usage data also differ during the academic breaks as shown in Figure 7. During the spring break, the amount of time the student being active was less, had fewer steps and used phone less often compared to the instruction period. These patterns are consistent with the trend in students' sleep survey data where on average they reported that they slept more during the break.

Conclusion

In this paper, we deployed a smartphone based tool among 22 students over the duration of an academic semester. The preliminary analyses of their survey data show that i) students slept less during exam periods and slept more during breaks, and ii) they felt more stressed during the breaks and exam period. And through the analysis of their daily routines, we found that some of sensor data are able to capture different routines during weekdays, weekends, and breaks. The consistency of passive sensor data with self-assessment shows promise for predictive models. For



Figure 7: Different routines during instruction period and spring break. The top one is the student's activity data, the middle one is her step-count data, and the bottom one is her phone usage data after decomposition. This student became less active, walked less, and used her phone less during day 55 – day 65, which was during the period of spring break.

future work, we are going to integrate the multimodal sensor data for predicting stress level and overall mental health trajectory during the academic term. We are also interested in identifying relationship between mental health and academic performance. Furthermore, we are focusing on providing early interventions to prevent onset of mental health issues based on the outcome of our predictive models.

REFERENCES

- Saeed Abdullah, Mark Matthews, Ellen Frank, Gavin Doherty, Geri Gay, and Tanzeem Choudhury. 2016. Automatic Detection of Social Rhythms in Bipolar Disorder. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* (2016), ocv200.
- American College Health Association and others. 2000. National College Health Assessment II: Spring 2000 Reference Group Executive Summary. (2000). Available at

http://www.acha-ncha.org/docs/ACHA-NCHA_ Reference_Group_ExecutiveSummary_Spring2000.pdf.

 American College Health Association and others. 2015. National College Health Assessment II: Fall 2015 Reference Group Executive Summary. (2015). Available at http:

//www.acha-ncha.org/docs/NCHA-II%20FALL%202015%
20REFERENCE%20GROUP%20EXECUTIVE%20SUMMARY.pdf.

- Victoria Bird, Preethi Premkumar, Tim Kendall, Craig Whittington, Jonathan Mitchell, and Elizabeth Kuipers. 2010. Early intervention services, cognitive–behavioural therapy and family intervention in early psychosis: systematic review. *The British Journal* of Psychiatry 197, 5 (2010), 350–356.
- Carlos Blanco, Mayumi Okuda, Crystal Wright, Deborah S Hasin, Bridget F Grant, Shang-Min Liu, and Mark Olfson. 2008. Mental health of college students and their non–college-attending peers: results from the National Epidemiologic Study on Alcohol and Related

Conditions. *Archives of general psychiatry* 65, 12 (2008), 1429–1437.

- Craig J Bryan, Tabatha Blount, Kathryn A Kanzler, Chad E Morrow, Kent A Corso, Meghan A Corso, and Bobbie Ray-Sannerud. 2014. Reliability and normative data for the Behavioral Health Measure (BHM) in primary care behavioral health settings. *Families, Systems, & Health* 32, 1 (2014), 89.
- Daniel J Buysse, Charles F Reynolds, Timothy H Monk, Susan R Berman, and David J Kupfer. 1989. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry research* 28, 2 (1989), 193–213.
- Luca Canzian and Mirco Musolesi. 2015. Trajectories of depression: unobtrusive monitoring of depressive states by means of smartphone mobility traces analysis. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing*. ACM, 1293–1304.
- D Cella, W Riley, A Stone, N Rothrock, B Reeve, S Yount, D Amtmann, R Bode, DJ Buysse, SW Choi, and others. 2010. Initial item banks and first wave testing of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) network: 2005–2008. J Clin Epidemiol 63, 11 (2010), 1179–94.
- 10. Sheldon Cohen. 1988. Perceived stress in a probability sample of the United States. (1988).
- Kathryn M Connor and Jonathan RT Davidson. 2003. Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC). Depression and anxiety 18, 2 (2003), 76–82.

- 12. Eden Dahlstrom, JD Walker, and Charles Dziuban. 2013. ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology. Technical Report. 2013.
- Ed Diener, Derrick Wirtz, William Tov, Chu Kim-Prieto, Dong-won Choi, Shigehiro Oishi, and Robert Biswas-Diener. 2010. New well-being measures: Short scales to assess flourishing and positive and negative feelings. *Social Indicators Research* 97, 2 (2010), 143–156.
- Daniel Eisenberg, Justin Hunt, and Nicole Speer. 2013. Mental Health in American Colleges and Universities. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* 201, 1 (Jan. 2013), 60–67.
- National Center for Education Statistics. 2014. Digest of Education Statistics. (2014). Available at http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d14/.
- Robert P Gallagher. 2011. National survey of counseling center directors. *Alexandria, VA: International Association of Counseling Services* (2011).
- Justin Hunt and Daniel Eisenberg. 2010. Mental Health Problems and Help-Seeking Behavior Among College Students. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 46, 1 (Jan. 2010), 3–10.
- Oliver P John and Sanjay Srivastava. 1999. The Big Five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* 2, 1999 (1999), 102–138.
- Murray W Johns and others. 1991. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness scale. *sleep* 14, 6 (1991), 540–545.
- 20. Ronald C Kessler, Patricia Berglund, Olga Demler, Robert Jin, Kathleen R Merikangas, and Ellen E

Walters. 2005. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of general psychiatry* 62, 6 (2005), 593–602.

- Kurt Kroenke, Tara W Strine, Robert L Spitzer, Janet BW Williams, Joyce T Berry, and Ali H Mokdad.
 2009. The PHQ-8 as a measure of current depression in the general population. *Journal of affective disorders* 114, 1 (2009), 163–173.
- 22. Zhouchen Lin, Minming Chen, and Yi Ma. 2010. The augmented lagrange multiplier method for exact recovery of corrupted low-rank matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1009.5055* (2010).
- 23. Timothy K Monk, Joseph F Flaherty, Ellen Frank, Kathleen Hoskinson, and David J Kupfer. 1990. The Social Rhythm Metric: An instrument to quantify the daily rhythms of life. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* (1990).
- 24. John P Pollak, Phil Adams, and Geri Gay. 2011. PAM: a photographic affect meter for frequent, in situ measurement of affect. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM, 725–734.
- 25. Till Roenneberg, Tim Kuehnle, Peter P Pramstaller, Jan Ricken, Miriam Havel, Angelika Guth, and Martha Merrow. 2004. A marker for the end of adolescence. *Current Biology* 14, 24 (2004), R1038–R1039.
- Daniel W Russell. 1996. UCLA Loneliness Scale (Version 3): Reliability, validity, and factor structure. *Journal of personality assessment* 66, 1 (1996), 20–40.